

In the next 81 days, we will vote on the following 10 items:

No. 1, a balanced-budget amendment and line-item veto;

No. 2, a new crime bill to stop violent criminals;

No. 3, welfare reform to encourage work, not dependency;

No. 4, family reinforcement to crack down on deadbeat dads and protect our children;

No. 5, tax cuts for families to lift Government's burden from middle-class people;

No. 6, national-security restoration to protect our freedoms;

No. 7, Senior Citizens Equity Act to allow our seniors to work without Government penalty; and

No. 8, Government regulations and unfunded mandates;

No. 9, commonsense legal reform to end frivolous lawsuits; and

No. 10, congressional term limits to make Congress a citizen legislature.

This is our Contract With America.

CIVILITY DUE TO, AND FROM, THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

(Mr. FROST asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, as one of the three Members of Congress representing Fort Worth, TX, home of former Speaker Jim Wright, I rise to urge that Speaker GINGRICH take down his own words.

Last Friday, speaking to a Republican audience here in Washington, Speaker GINGRICH referred to former Speaker Wright as a "crook." In my opinion, such a comment does harm to the office of Speaker—both past and present.

The truth of the matter is that former Speaker Wright served this body with dedication during his 34 years as a Member and 2½ years as Speaker.

Contrary to the remarks made last Friday, Speaker Wright was never convicted of a crime nor was he even ever charged with a crime in court. Additionally, though ethics charges were lodged against him with the House Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, that committee never ruled against him on the merits of those charges.

It is my opinion that the current Speaker, Mr. GINGRICH, would serve both his own party and this House by desisting from making such references as he did when in the minority.

The country expects a degree of civility from the presiding officer of this body.

I, for one, have always respected the leaders of the opposition party even when I disagreed with them on the merits of an issue. It would serve the Nation if our Speaker would do the same.

TIME TO DO AWAY WITH BURDENSOME REGULATIONS

(Mr. STEARNS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, history was made on the opening day here in Congress.

We passed sweeping reforms to not only change the rules of the House but also to make Congress adhere to laws governing the rest of the country.

But now the question is, Mr. Speaker: Do we really need so many regulations? Compliance under some of these strict rules and regulations which are mandated by OSHA, the Americans With Disabilities Act, and all of this massive legislation we passed in the last 150 years is forcing companies to either downsize their work force or go out of business entirely, as we speak.

Many small business are struggling under the yoke of overburdensome regulations and rules. They make it virtually impossible for them to operate.

Mr. Speaker, I applaud the action taken thus far by Congress to bring this body into the mainstream, but I also think the time is now to do away with many of these needless rules and regulations that are already in existence.

WATCHING OUT FOR THE SPECIAL INTEREST OF MA AND PA CITIZEN

(Mr. VOLKMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, Will Rogers always had a down home way of making light of politics and particularly Members of Congress. Mr. Rogers held no punches for either party saying of the Democratic party, "you've got to be an optimist to be a Democrat, and you've got to be a humorist to stay one." He also had this to say of the Republican party, "Republicans take care of the big money, and big money takes care of them. It takes nerve to be a Democrat, but it takes money to be a Republican." This quote rings so clearly today.

Mr. Speaker, who was invited to the Republican gala for the Contract With America? Was it ma and pa citizen? No, it was Mr. and Mrs. special interest.

Mr. Speaker, who was invited to the gentleman from Georgia's closed door telecommunications dinner? Was it ma and pa citizen? No, it again was Mr. and Mrs. special interest.

So as we debate legislation here in the people's House, it is time to look who has the special interest of ma and pa citizen at heart and who just has special interests.

STAND UP AND BE COUNTED

(Mr. SCARBOROUGH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SCARBOROUGH. Mr. Speaker, with children dying in our Nation's streets, liberal Democratic leaders lament a book deal that even the Washington Post admits is proper, and while working men and women across the land struggle to survive until their next paycheck, liberal Democratic leaders ignore their plight and, instead, chatter incessantly over contrived, imaginary scandals.

And while conservatives on both sides of the aisle boldly forge ahead into a new frontier of federalism, liberal Democratic leaders continue to engage in a desperate, ham-fisted attempt to create a crisis, change the subject, and obstruct the latest great piece of congressional reform.

Mr. Speaker, it is time for all Members of Congress to step forward regardless of what aisle they stand on, stand up, be counted, and debate the issues that will actually affect the lives of those men and women that sent us to Congress to make a difference.

PASS THE UNFUNDED MANDATE REFORM BILL

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, a woman was arrested in Sweden for smuggling. She had 65 snakes in her brassiere. She said she was going to start a reptile farm.

Now, imagine if that happened in America: The IRS would declare her brassiere a small business and tax it, the OSHA would fine the bra-holder for an unsafe workplace, EPA would mandate a wastewater treatment plant in her brassiere, and the Interior Department would take the bra-holder to court for an illegal snake sanctuary, violating the Endangered Species Act.

But it is not all bad. The bra-holder may qualify for dairy subsidies under the ag bill.

The bottom line is, Mr. Speaker, let us pass the unfunded mandate bill and give business and Government the support and comfort they deserve before Wonder Bra takes all members of Congress to court.

WHAT IS THE GOAL OF THE ADMINISTRATION?

(Mr. CHRISTENSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHRISTENSEN. Mr. Speaker, last week a Member of the President's Cabinet declared that balancing the budget was not the goal of the Clinton administration.

So what is the administration's goal, if it is not a balanced budget? Is it to continue mortgaging our children's future? Is it to continue financing a Government that is too big and too intrusive into our lives?

Mr. Speaker, my constituents sent me to Washington with a clear message: Balance the budget, reduce the size and scope of this place.

□ 1410

So those have become my goals, and I found the best way to accomplish these goals is to pass a balanced budget amendment.

So, Mr. Speaker, when the time comes this week, I hope all my colleagues will vote for the people's goals of a balanced budget amendment and return some common sense back to the people's House.

BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT WOULD BE A STRAITJACKET ON FUTURE GROWTH

(Mrs. MINK of Hawaii asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. MINK of Hawaii. Mr. Speaker, all 50 States enact two budgets: one, an operating budget which pays for the day-to-day expenses; and, two, a capital improvements budget which pays for things like buildings, roads, airports, land acquisitions. The capital budget is paid for by borrowing, usually through the sale of bonds.

States function as families do. Families budget to pay for living expenses; but things like a home, car, or furniture, families must go into debt. Families assume such long-term debts in order to acquire these assets, the same as the Federal Governments.

The balanced budget amendment to the U.S. Constitution, if passed, would deny the Federal Government the power to borrow for the purpose of acquiring needed physical assets, such as military hardware, a space station, highways, and the like. A balanced budget amendment translates into zero deficit. This proposal is a straitjacket which will cripple the future of our country.

TALK ABOUT ISSUES, NOT JUST BOOK DEALS

(Mr. LAHOOD asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LAHOOD. Mr. Speaker, after sitting here and listening to my Democrat colleagues on the floor last week and this morning, I think I have a good idea of what issues the President will bring up in the State of the Union Address tomorrow night: book deals.

Yes, it seems the only thing on Democrats' minds right now is book deals and other trivial distractions. Forget the important things in the world today, like a balanced budget amendment and passing the unfunded mandates legislation. Book deals are the only thing worth talking about if you are a Democrat.

So, it should be a short State of the Union Address, probably the shortest in history, because if the President acts anything like his fellow Demo-

crats on the Hill, he will not talk about the real issues, he too will try his hardest to change the subject and talk about book deals. I hope he talks about issues because that is what the American people want him to talk about.

THE 22D ANNIVERSARY OF ROE VERSUS WADE

(Mr. WATT of North Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WATT of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, on this anniversary of the landmark Roe versus Wade decision I want to express my disgust at the recent outbreaks of violence at our Nation's family planning clinics. Roe versus Wade affirmed that the women in this country have a right to reproductive choice. This right to choose, like every other constitutional rights, must be vigilantly guarded regardless of one's individual beliefs.

The outbreaks of violence we have seen recently at family planning facilities are chilling reminders of the violence we saw surrounding polling places in the 1950's, 1960's, and 1970's, as African-Americans attempted to exercise their constitutional right to vote.

We must not allow any constitutional right to be abridged through campaigns of violent intimidation.

REPUBLICANS REMAIN COMMITTED TO CHANGING CONGRESS

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, over the weekend President Clinton said the Democrats and Republicans should be committed to tackling problems larger than our partisan squabbles. I agree. The new Congress should be committed to balancing the budget, ending crime, and reforming Government.

But the sad fact is the rhetoric on that side of the aisle and the actions that follow rarely line up. While Democrats may talk about putting aside partisanship, they seem obsessed by ghost historians, book deals and personal attacks.

Despite this partisan maneuvering, Republicans remain committed to the promises we made to the American people. We will stop forcing the States to pay for policies we implement and we will transform the culture of Washington by passing the balanced budget amendment.

It should be quite obvious to all that Republicans want to change this Congress and our friends on the other side of the aisle just wanted to change the subject.

UNFUNDED MANDATES AND THE CONTRACT WITH AMERICA

(Mr. RICHARDSON asked and was given permission to address the House

for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous material.)

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, this morning I read a letter from Delilah Gonzales, a seventh-grader from New Mexico, asking me to protect her from water contamination. Can we assure Delilah that this legislation we are passing on unfunded mandates will protect her and many other children from water contamination?

In the haste to meet political deadlines, we must not take away safeguards to public health and environmental safety for all Americans.

Although like many I support cutting redtape and bureaucracies in State and local governments, I believe it must be done without harm to children and their families.

I do not want the American people to be faced with pollution in the air and disease-bearing organisms in public water systems because of legislation that was hastily passed in the Congress.

We have to protect the people, and Congress must make sure that they are safe in their own homes.

Mr. Speaker, my constituent, Delilah Gonzales, wants clean water to drink and clear streams in which to fish. Nothing we do in this body should be at the expense of her health.

The letter referred to follows:

JOHN ADAMS MIDDLE SCHOOL,
Albuquerque, NM, December 11, 1994.
Congressman RICHARDSON,
Washington, DC.

MR. RICHARDSON: My 7th grade class is studying water use, over-use and contamination. We would like to know what things you do in your personal life to help conserve and protect our nation's water supply.

Please send us a short note telling us what you do to save water.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DELILAH GONZALES.

LET'S HELP OUT OUR STATES

(Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, today the House continues debate on H.R. 5, a bill which will provide for the examination of past and future unfunded mandates and regulations, imposed on State and local governments, as well as the private sector.

This bill has the potential to be one of the greatest legislative relief bills for our State and local governments in recent memory. H.R. 5's mandate commission will review and make recommendations on modifying, terminating, or suspending current unfunded mandates.

The focal point of this issue is not how well-intentioned or constructive these unfunded mandates are—the real issue here is paying for these constructive ideas. It is a matter of fiscal responsibility and control.